

Mud Lake Telephone Cooperative Assn., Inc.

165 West Main St. - P.O. Box 235
Dubois, Idaho 83423
(208)374-5401

September 14, 2005

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Re: CC Docket No. 96-45
Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Certification

This is to certify that Mud Lake Telephone Cooperative Association, Inc. will use all federal high cost support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with § 254(e) of the Communications Act. Mud Lake Telephone Cooperative Assn. currently receives high cost loop support, long-term support, and local switching support.


With this certification, Mud lake Telephone Cooperative Assn. hereby respectfully requests that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.314(a), (c) and (d), the Commission make and file, not later than October 1, 2005, with the Administrator of the Federal High-Cost Universal Fund and the Federal Communications Commission the certification described in 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.314 (a) and (c), and that the Commission include Mud Lake Telephone Cooperative Assn., Inc. in the list of carriers to which such certification applies.

If additional copies of the letter are required, please let us know.

Very truly yours,

Michael C. Leonardson
General Manager

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____



Susan McInroy

8538 Main St. , Campbell, New York 14821

September 14, 2005 10:11 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:


The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.
Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,


Susan McInroy

cc:

Senator Charles Schumer
Representative John Kuhl
Senator Hillary Clinton

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABOVE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

SEP 20 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Lisa Smith

41 Coral Drive, Hazlet, New Jersey 07730

September 09, 2005 10:46 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.
Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress


Sincerely,


Lisa Smith

cc:

Senator Frank Lautenberg
Representative Frank Pallone
Senator Jon Corzine

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



**Interstate Access Support (IAS)
2005 - 2006**

IAS

Date: June 2, 2005

To: Marlene H. Dortch
Office of Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Irene M. Flannery
Vice President - High Cost and Low Income Division
Universal Service Administrative Company
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036

Re: CC Docket No. 96-45
Interstate Access Support - IAS
Annual Certification Filing

This is to certify that THE COMMUNITY AGENCY
will use its **INTERSTATE ACCESS SUPPORT - IAS** only for the provision, maintenance
and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of the company named above. This certification is for the
study area(s) listed below. **(Please enter your Company Name, State and Study Area Code)**

IAS

Company Name	State	Study Area Code
THE COMMUNITY AGENCY	IA	359022

(If necessary, attach a separate list of additional study areas and check this box.) ☐

Signed,


[Signature of Authorized Representative]

Date: 06/02/2005

DJ WEBER
[Printed Name of Authorized Representative]

GENERAL MANAGER
[Title of Authorized Representative]

Date Received
(For official use only)

Carrier's Name: THE COMMUNITY AGENCY
Carrier's Address: 102 S EASTERN ST BOX 489, SANBORN IA 51248
Carrier's Telephone Number: 712-930-5593

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE
USAC

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

SEP 20 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Cheryl Fotia

9793 SW 90th St , Ocala, Florida 34481-5590

August 28, 2005 04:56 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.
Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress ✓

Sincerely,

Cheryl Fotia

Cheryl Fotia

cc:

Representative Cliff Stearns
Senator Bill Nelson
Senator Mel Martinez

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

SEP 20 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Carol Anne Westberry

707 Frace St. , Alpha, New Jersey 08865

August 29, 2005 12:48 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.
Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress


Sincerely,

Carol Anne Westberry

cc:

Senator Frank Lautenberg
Representative Scott Garrett
Senator Jon Corzine

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



Katherine Lawrence

417 Lowell Court , Shreveport, Louisiana 71104

September 13, 2005 11:13 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Katherine Lawrence
Katherine Lawrence

cc:

Representative Jim McCrery
Senator David Vitter
Senator Mary Landrieu

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

①

RECEIVED & INDEXED

SEP 20 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Carl Hooton

1500 Hwy 43 , Vanceboro, North Carolina 28586

September 12, 2005 11:53 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress


Sincerely,

Carl Hooton

cc:

Senator Richard Burr
Senator Elizabeth Dole

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



Cheryl Fotia

9793 SW 90th St , Ocala, Florida 34481-5590

August 28, 2005 04:56 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.


I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,


Cheryl Fotia

cc:

Representative Cliff Stearns
Senator Bill Nelson
Senator Mel Martinez

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

SEP 20 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Jay Carter

10010 Mile Road , New Lebanon, Ohio 45345

September 12, 2005 10:51 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Jay Carter

cc:

Senator Mike DeWine
Senator George Voinovich
Representative Michael Turner

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

SEP 20 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

JANICE KLIER

171 HILLTOP RD , DRACUT, Massachusetts 01826

August 31, 2005 07:50 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.


Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.
Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

JANICE KLIER

cc:


Senator Edward Kennedy
Senator John Kerry
Representative Marty Meehan

No. of Copies rec'd 0+3
List ABCDE



CHAPIN TELEPHONE COMPANY

19994 W. RIDGE RD.
ELSIE, MI 48831-9244

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

SEP 16 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Telephone 989-661-2476

Fax 989-661-2500

JUNE 29, 2005

To: Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Irene M. Flannery
Vice President – High Cost & Low Income Division
Universal Service Administrative Company
2000 L Street, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: **Interstate Common Line Support**
Annual Certification Filing
CC Docket No. 96-45

This is to certify that Chapin Telephone Company will use its **Interstate Common Line Support** only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and service for which the support is intended.

I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of the company named above. This certification is for the study area(s) listed below:

Company Name	State	Study Area Code
Chapin Telephone Company	MI	310694

(If necessary, attach a separate list of additional study areas and check this box.) ☐

Greg Ringle
[Signature of Authorized Representative]

Date: 6/29/05

Greg Ringle
[Printed Name of Authorized Representative]

Manager
[Title of Authorized Representative]

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E